1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		•
8	UNITED STATES DI	STRICT COURT
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	SAN JOSE DIVISION	
11		
12	FINJAN, INC., a Delaware Corporation,,	Case No.: 15-cv-03295-BLF-SVK
13	Plaintiff,	VERDICT FORM
14	v.	
15	BLUE COAT SYSTEMS LLC, a Delaware Corporation,	
16	Defendant.	
17	Detendant.	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
- 11		· ·

VERDICT FORM 15-cv-03295-BLF-SVK

VERDICT FORM

When answering the following questions and filling out this Verdict Form, please follow the directions provided throughout this Verdict Form. Your answer to each question must be unanimous. Some of the questions contain legal terms that are defined and explained in detail in the Jury Instructions. Please refer to the Jury Instructions if you are unsure about the meaning or usage of any legal term that appears in the questions below.

We, the jury, unanimously agree to the answers to the following questions and return them under the instructions of this court as our verdict in this case.

VERDICT FORM 15-cv-03295-BLF-SVK

1	l
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	ļ
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	ĺ
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

<u>OUESTION 1</u>: Did Finjan prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Blue Coat's product or combination of products as identified below literally infringes the identified claim or claims of the Asserted Patents? Answer "Yes" or "No" for each claim.

'844 Patent GIN/WebPulse	Claim 15
'494 Patent ■ GIN/WebPulse	Claim 10
'731 Patent ■ ASG with MAA	Claim 1 Yes
'968 Patent ■ ASG with MAA	Claim 1 Yes
'408 Patent WSS with GIN/WebPulse	Claim 22 No
'621 Patent ■ GIN/WebPulse	Claim 1 No Claim 10 No

For each claim you did not find literally infringed, answer Question 2.

1	QUESTION 2: For any patent claim you did not find Blue Coat literally infringed in					
2	Question 1, did Finjan prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Blue Coat's product or					
3	combination of products as identified below infringes the identified claim or claims under the					
4	doctrine of equivalents? Answer "Yes" or "No" for each claim.					
5						
6	'844 Patent ■ GIN/WebPulse	Claim 15				
8	'494 Patent ■ GIN/WebPulse	Claim 10				
10	'731 Patent ■ ASG with MAA	Claim 1				
11 12	'968 Patent ■ ASG with MAA	Claim 1				
13 14 15	'621 Patent ■ GIN/WebPulse	Claim 1				
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25						
26						
27						
28	·					
I	H .					

QUESTION 3: For only those Asserted Patents for which you found infringement in Question 1 or Question 2 above, has Finjan proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Blue Coat willfully infringed the patent? Answer "Yes" or "No" for each patent.

'844 Patent	Yes	No	
'494 Patent	Yes		
'731 Patent	Yes	Nο <u>ν</u> ο	
'968 Patent	Yes	. Νο <u>. <i>Ν</i>ο</u>	
'408 Patent	Yes	. No	
'621 Patent	Yes	No	

Answer Question 4 only if you have found one or more claims of the Asserted Patents to 1 be infringed in Questions 1 or 2 above. 2 3 **QUESTION 4:** What sum of money do you find that Finjan has proven by a preponderance of the evidence would fairly and reasonably compensate Finjan for Blue Coat's 4 infringement of Finjan's Asserted Patents for the life of each of the patents? 5 6 '844 Patent \$ 7 '494 Patent 8 '731 Patent 9 '968 Patent 10 '408 Patent 11 \$ '621 Patent 12 13 You have now reached the end of the verdict form and should review it to ensure it accurately reflects your unanimous determinations. The Presiding Juror should then sign and date 14 the verdict form in the spaces below and notify the Security Guard that you have reached a 15 verdict. The Presiding Juror should retain possession of the verdict form and bring it when the jury is brought back into the courtroom. 16 17 Vellie Rhllipss Presiding Juror 18 DATED: Nov 20, 2017 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28